



Minutes

Date/Time/Location:	January 18, 2023 7:00PM Leeds Town Hall
Type of Meeting:	Board of Directors Meeting
Note Taker:	Layna Larsen
Attendees:	Board Members: Don Fawson (P), Kurt Allen (VP), Doris McNally (IT), David Stirling (M) Staff: Mark Osmer (Field Mgr), Layna Larsen (Corp Sec.) Guests: Riley Vane, Josh Wagstaff Shareholders: Terry Allen, Jared Westoff, Danielle Stirling Special Attendees: Josh Wagstaff (SPE), Riley Vane (J&D)

Agenda Topics

I. CALL TO ORDER [DON FAWSON - @ 7:00 PM]

CALL TO ORDER	I'd like to welcome everyone here. Appreciate you coming. Looks like a pretty hostile crowd, but we'll make it through. Would like to go ahead and start with a prayer if we could. We will start off with a roll call.
ROLL CALL	Present: David Stirling, Don Fawson, Kurt Allen, Doris McNally

II. PRAYER [Jared Westoff]

III. PLEDGE [Don Fawson]

IV. CONSENT AGENDA, PRIOR MEETING'S MINUTES & POLICY APPROVAL/VOTES [Don Fawson]

DISCUSSION	Consent agenda consist of the acknowledgment the meeting notice was posted. It is also a vote to accept this month's agenda and the previous month's minutes.
VOTE	MOTION TO APPROVE PRIOR MEETING'S MINUTES: Kurt Allen SECOND: Doris McNally MOTION APPROVED: Unanimously
VOTE	MOTION TO APPROVE TONIGHT'S AGENDA: Kurt Allen SECOND: Doris McNally MOTION APPROVED: Unanimously

IV. OFFICERS REPORTS [All Members]

a) PRESIDENT'S REPORT [Don Fawson]

DISCUSSION	WELL
Don Fawson - I'm just going to give a little update on the Well. So, we had the pump test people come up today, and they actually pulled the pump. And there was a description on the pump that said it was installed in 2011 so, it was about 11 years ago. We will try to report on all that is going on up there.	

DISCUSSION	RIGHT OF WAY
Don Fawson - We have a notice from the Department of Interior. We have the right of way up around the well, the road, and whatnot there, and apparently it expired on October 6, 2022. We have a right to renew that. We just need to do it. So, I need a motion to renew the ROW as stated here.	
VOTE	MOTION TO APPROVE RENEW ROW as stated : Kurt Allen SECOND: Doris McNally MOTION APPROVED: Unanimously

DISCUSSION	LEGISLATURE
Don Fawson - We did get kind of an update on some of the bills that are going through the legislature and being discussed right now. This is from Smith Hartvigson, they just post this for their clients. There is a house bill 150; and it addresses preferences for water use during a temporary water shortage emergency. It says the existing statute is extremely vague about what constitutes a water shortage emergency, how the preference determination process should be managed, and how compensation for the preferential use should be calculated. This bill outlines a new procedure by which the governor would declare a temporary shortage emergency, which would be distinct from the government's powers to declare a state of emergency under the past Disaster Response and Recovery Act. A temporary water shortage emergency could only be declared if an interruption of water delivery "caused by man-made or natural causes other than drought" either (a) threatens the availability or quality of essential water supply or (b) Threatens the economy and "jeopardizes the peace, health, safety or welfare" of the public. In issuing an executive order declaring a temporary water shortage emergency, the governor would be required to seek the advice and recommendations of the State Engineer - I'm assuming the state water engineer - and consult with the state's Emergency Management Administration committee. The preferential uses of water in a temporary water shortage emergency, in order, would be for: (1) drinking, (2) sanitation, (3) Fire suppression, (4) commercial agricultural animal welfare needs, and (5) generation of electricity. Outside of the ranking of preferential uses, the bill states that water for agriculture purposes, including irrigation, livestock watering, and food processing, would be preferred over the remaining water uses. Preferential users would be required to pay the interrupted users for the reasonable value of the water, applicable crop losses, and "other consequential damages incurred as a result of the interruption." I'm sure there will be a lot of discussion on that. Any questions or thoughts on that at all?	

DISCUSSION	WCWCD
Don Fawson - Just a quick report on the WCWCD board meeting. Doris, Riley, and I had a chance to go down to the Washington County Water Conservancy District Board meeting on the 4th of January. We met privately after with Ed Bowler and Zach Renstrom to talk about the possibility or their willingness to go ahead and do all the work in installing the pipeline down the West side of Main Street. And that request was denied, part of the reason was the Board had asked Zach to go ahead and contact other Water Districts in the State and see what they have been doing and basically, they all said we don't do that, we do not assist private Water Companies and we don't want you to either, so that you're not setting	

a precedence. So anyway, they said that they are concerned, and they do want to help us as much as they can. So, I think that as we go ahead with this, we're going to see some willingness to be able to pick up some things, like for instance all the road hazard mitigation, that they will just take care of that or there may be some digging or other things that they will be willing to pick up as the project goes along. They did not seem antagonistic or anything like that, we tried to leave with a positive relationship, and I think we did. Doris did you have anything to add to this?

Doris McNally - No

DISCUSSION

SILVER EAGLE ESTATES SUBDIVISION

Don Fawson - OK, we did have a chance to make contact with Silver Eagle Subdivision, and their Engineers are continuing to work on their plan for the water system.

Also Washington County Water Conservancy is planning to install three hydrants on their 24 inch line. We have some areas in town, for instance the post office mall, the plastics plant which is across the street, the old store location, and the church which actually are required to have hydrants that can produce, 2250 gallons per minute. That would be kind of hard for us to meet. So, with that extra hydrant and with our hydrant, we would obviously be able to meet that kind of flow. So, we appreciate them doing that and that will be on their dime as I understand it. And then also they seem willing to donate the three hydrants going up to the Cul-de-sac just above the fire station for the Silver Eagle Subdivision. Marks going to pothole that line to see exactly what it is, if it's that plastic pipe, then we are going to have to abandon it and put in our own line. If not, we will go ahead and hook that into our system on the low-pressure side, we don't want that high pressure water going up the hill to be in those hydrants.

DISCUSSION

CROSS CONNECTION TRAINING

Don Fawson - Also, Mark and I will be taking an updated cross connection training class tomorrow all day, something we're really excited about. That will be online just to renew and keep our cross-connection training certification updated.

DISCUSSION

LWC METER UPDATE

Don Fawson – David can you offer a brief update on the LWC Meter.

David Stirling - About the meter installation, Kurt was able to donate us the use of some jersey barriers and we put that around the Silver Reef Vault up there. And we pulled the lids, we've removed the old filters, pulled those out, and we've been working on pulling the piping out. We finished that today. Next week we'll install the meter and the rest of the piping, put the lids back on and remove the barriers.

b) FIELD OPERATION'S REPORT [Don Fawson/Kurt Allen/Mark Osmer]

DISCUSSION

QUALITY WATER TESTS

Mark Osmer - OK. Yeah. So, we passed our Bac-T again this month.

And I've worked with Mark from Infowest, to reprogram all the cameras so they're all up and working and they're, working really well. Now they're only recording when we've got motion. So, they're not recording 24/7, just when there's motion.



We put the Eldorado Well Lid on, so that's all sealed up. Put the vents in there and sealed the other lid up so it's all locked and nobody can get in there.

I did a service line the previous month. But then a car went down there and got stuck, it was all sandy and they were digging around and anyway they must have ran it over again and they broke it. So, we had to go down there and re-fix that leak. And then I took a bunch of fill down there and brought the whole ground up level so there's about 2 1/2 feet of material on top of it now.

DISCUSSION	HIGHLAND WELL
<p>Mark Osmer - And we're working with the fire department cleaning up around the Highlands Well, they cut a bunch of trees down, chipped it, we've cleaned it all out so we can get access to it easy</p>	

DISCUSSION	WELL TESTING
<p>Mark Osmer - And the Well people were up there today, they pulled the pump out and we're waiting for them to put the new one in and test it. So, for the moment we're just running on the spring.</p> <p>Don Fawson - So the last time you checked the spring it was running at what?</p> <p>Mark Osmer - About, 175 - 180 somewhere around there, yeah, so it's dropped down a little bit.</p> <p>Don Fawson - So how long did you say they thought they'd be before they put it back in,</p> <p>Mark Osmer - They said three to four weeks maybe. By the time they got the pipe and pump and the controller and all that. So, they need to put in new pipe, new wire, new controllers and everything in there. So, we just laid the pipe out on the outside and put the pump and the motor inside the well house and locked it all up.</p> <p>Don Fawson - Appreciate that, Mark, I know he's been up there for a while.</p> <p>Mark Osmer - Yeah. Thank you.</p> <p>Don Fawson - And it's been pretty muddy as you said. So, the rains are a blessing, and particularly right now, with the well-being down, however, it can create its own set of problems.</p> <p>OK, Doris, let's turn some time over to you for Financials.</p>	

c) FINANCE & OFFICE REPORT [Doris McNally]

DISCUSSION	BILLING [Doris McNally]
<p>Billing for December was completed and mailed on January 2nd. On the reverse side of the bill the New Drips Annual Meeting Notice as stipulated by the ByLaws of our Association, on Tuesday, February 7th at 7:00PM @ The Cosmopolitan. Copies of this were also posted on the cork boards inside and outside the Leeds Post Office w/an Agenda Notice for the meeting.</p>	
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: 30%;">  <p>Need to contact the LDWA, it's simple. Phone (435) 879-0278 Please leave a message, your call will be returned promptly.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To report an EMERGENCY water leak or a loss of water PRESS 1 • For a BILLING INQUIRY, PRESS 2 • For a GENERAL INQUIRY, PRESS 3 <p>Your message is immediately routed, and a LDWA representative will be in contact.</p> <p>Email LDWAcorp@infowest.com</p> </div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: 30%;">  <p>LDWA ANNUAL SHAREHOLDER MEETING The Leeds Domestic Water Users Association (LDWA) Annual Meeting will be on Tuesday, February 7, 2023 at 7:00 PM. The meeting will be held at The Cosmopolitan Building, 1915 Wells Fargo Rd. in Silver Reef, UT 84746.</p> <p>WHAT TO EXPECT - Shareholders who plan on attending the meeting are advised to arrive at the venue well in advance to allow sufficient time for registration processing.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A valid photo ID is required to gain admission - Attendance at the meeting is limited to shareholders. • Regardless of the number of shares held, a Shareholder shall have only one vote. The affirmative vote of the majority of the shareholders represented at the meeting shall be the act of all shareholders. The vote upon any business before a meeting shall be by ballot. No proxy voting shall be allowed. • Agenda items include - the election of two directors for two-year terms; the presentation of the annual financials and other reports. </div> </div>	

DISCUSSION		PAYCLIX [Doris McNally]					
<p>In December we had 73 shareholders pay their bills using this payment option.</p> <p>The total amount collected through PayClix was \$4,809.06. With 58% paid via credit cards & 42% via echecks.</p>		Credit Cards		Electronic Checks		PayClix®	
		Count	Credit Cards	Count	eCHECK	Count	TOTAL
	Jan-22	33	\$2,149.28	13	\$641.94	46	\$2,791.22
	Feb-22	30	\$1,574.26	19	\$1,047.57	49	\$2,621.83
	Mar-22	33	\$1,961.13	20	\$846.85	53	\$2,807.98
	Apr-22	34	\$1,547.00	16	\$1,068.23	50	\$2,615.23
	May-22	33	\$1,510.34	21	\$1,434.03	54	\$2,944.37
	Jun-22	41	\$2,653.92	19	\$1,303.09	60	\$3,957.01
	Jul-22	46	\$3,561.35	22	\$1,828.03	68	\$5,389.38
	Aug-22	45	\$3,081.90	24	\$4,593.35	69	\$7,675.25
	Sep-22	22	\$1,295.27	17	\$1,256.22	39	\$2,551.49
	Oct-22	72	\$4,384.68	27	\$5,088.28	99	\$9,472.96
	Nov-22	37	\$1,716.18	21	\$1,433.33	58	\$3,149.51
	Dec-22	46	\$2,786.00	27	\$2,023.06	73	\$4,809.06
	472	\$28,221.31	246	\$22,563.98	718	\$50,785.29	

DISCUSSION		FINANCIALS [Doris McNally]	
December's Total Net Ordinary Income was \$20,466.01			
There are 4 Major categories for Expenses:			
1)	<u>Ordinary Admin Operating Expenses:</u>	\$972.76	This category YTD represents 2.9% of our expenses.
2)	<u>Ordinary Professional Operating Expenses:</u>	\$290.00	This category YTD represents .9% of our expenses.
3)	<u>Ordinary Field Operating Expenses:</u>	\$25,238.43*	This category YTD represents 75.4% of our expenses.
			* Higher as it includes DDW 2022 Interest Payment of \$ 9,949.00.
4)	<u>Ordinary Operating Payroll & Taxes:</u>	\$6,987.85	This category YTD represents 20.9% of our expenses.
The LDWA's Banking Accounts Stand at: (01/10/2023)			
CHECKING ACCOUNT:			\$70,654.07*
EMERGENCY REPAIR & MAJOR PROJECT RESERVE			\$287,431.51
DDW LOAN #3F138 FUND			\$695.41*
IMPACT FEE ACCT			\$41,041.02
SAVINGS ACCOUNT:			\$364,391.25
*Transfer of \$40,949.00 from DDW LOAN #3F138 Fund to Checking account in preparation of Annual Payment for 2022.			

DISCUSSION	New LDWA Camera Equipment [Doris McNally]
<p>In August the board discussed the needed upgrade to our Camera System Monitoring & Security Severance equipment. Due to a change in service the manufacture of our existing cameras required us to purchase a Network Video Recorder (NVR) and some related software. After a review of options, the Board voted on upgrading the system. The NVR was ordered and installed earlier this month. Currently the LDWA has 26 active cameras, as we progress with our System Infrastructure Projects, we will assess the need to add to our system.</p>	

d) ADMINISTRATION REPORT [KURT ALLEN, RILEY VANE]

DISCUSSION	NEW PROJECT UPDATES
<p>Don Fawson – Ok, Kurt lets turn time over to you for the Projects</p> <p>Kurt Allen - OK, thank you, Don. The West Main Street project with the Water Conservancy District is moving forward slowly. They did bid out the materials once again. Well, let me back up a little bit. The previous bid for materials that was awarded to Mountain Land. Mountain Land was ultimately rejected and dismissed because of spec issues and being able to have them actually meet the time schedule because of material deliveries. And so, they rebid the materials, took the time limits off of it, took the liquidated damages out of it, and have bid it out again. It bid out a couple of weeks ago and the Conservancy District is just reviewing that and going through and sorting out the best options and haven't awarded that yet? That'll happen in their next board meeting.</p> <p>The main Contract for the project for West Main Street will be in April and they will bid that out to the contractors for installation of their pipeline as well as ours. And so, as we get closer to sorting out the materials issues, we will look over our portion of the material cost and we'll bring that to the board meeting to present that when we decide where we're at on that.</p> <p>The engineering is moving forward, I'll let to Riley have a few moments here to talk about where he's at on the engineering for the spring line, for the permits, the easements, right of ways, that sort of thing, and East Main Street. If you can report on that really quickly,</p> <p>you've heard about the well moving Forward. So, I'll turn the time over to Riley to report where the Engineering's at.</p>	

DISCUSSION	ENGINEERING
<p>Riley Vane - Thank you board. The priority is obviously testing the Well, we've heard about how that's being mobilized and how we can finalize that new location for the new Well based on the production of the existing well. One of the things we're noticing are, Supply issues, the funding package that we are awarded as part of the buy America, build America package. So, we have specific requirements that we have to meet for materials, and we're learning what those requirements are the same time the Division of Drinking Water is learning those requirements. So, we're learning that as fast as they're willing to release information. The Federal Government are the ones determining the rules and it's administered through the state so, that's why we're seeing a delay in some of that, even though the funding package was prepared, the exact specifics and what we need to put in our bids has not been released yet.</p>	

DISCUSSION	WELL
<p>Riley Vane - The well house, we met with LDWA and with Mark to talk about some of the criteria. We've got that nailed down. So, we're moving forward with the design on that.</p>	

DISCUSSION

THE OAK GROVE TRANSMISSION LINE.

Riley Vane - We've got our surveyor in place this week and he's been tying down section corners and the other things required for the permitting sight, he'll probably finish up next week or maybe even the week after once he gets everything surveyed and dialed in.

Environmental is also progressing and moving forward with those requirements for both the BLM permitting for the Well and the National Forest permit for the Oak Grove Transmission Line.

And the things appear to be going on schedule minus, some hiccups from the material side and we're playing that by ear just as fast as we can, trying to keep you informed as well of any updates. Any other questions?

Kurt Allen - We think you're doing a great job, Riley. We appreciate your hard work. Obviously, lots of work and lots of effort going into it and we appreciate what you're doing.

Riley Vane - Thank you and thank you for the board for being so responsive it's helping move this process on even faster.

Kurt Allen - That concludes my report.

Don Fawson - OK, I just want to mention that there is a lot of stuff going on in the background with the engineering and with development of things and whatnot that you don't see, it's not because it's trying to be hidden, it's just stuff that has to be done so. Mark reported his report was not super long, but everything that he does takes a lot of time and energy just keeping up on all the valving and water flows and everything else that's going on.

OK, at this time. Silver Point if you have the report that you want to give us.

DISCUSSION

SILVER POINT ESTATES

Josh Wagstaff - Yeah, so I sent over after our last meeting. There are a few things you guys requested. The construction drawings, hope you guys are all able to review those. The title report, the water was deeded over with a Warranty Deed, but we're still, at your request, having that research done, Brad Dobson is working on that right now. And then the RP backflow preventer, we had our engineer look at that. The cost is a little bit more than the other backflow preventer but again, we'd still be willing to do that under the terms we talked about last time, offsetting the impact fees for adding additional back flow preventers and the kind of things we talked about last time.

Don Fawson - Did you get a price on that?

Josh Wagstaff - Yeah, so I think it ended up being about 30,000 per Preventer and we'd have to have two, so it would be \$60,000 total.

Don Fawson - So the RP was about how much?

Josh Wagstaff - It's about \$30,000 because it has to go in a vault too, so between the RP, the vault, and the labor it ends up being about \$30,000 per side with the loop system.

Don Fawson - So, the RP, it can be in a vault, but it has to be above ground, it can't be in the ground. So, I don't know what they're talking about a vault. It has to have some kind of enclosure around it just for protection, but it has to be at least 12 inches above ground level.

Josh Wagstaff - I guess a concrete box would be a better word than saying a vault.

Don Fawson - It seems like an expensive concrete box, but nonetheless, OK, appreciate that. Ok, the three things that we talked about... So, the construction drawings, were those dropped off at the office?

Josh Wagstaff - I emailed them,

Doris McNally – You e-mail them, but if you can drop off a hard copy set.

Josh Wagstaff – Oh, that was my mistake. I read about the hard copy as well, but I did e-mail them.

Don Fawson - OK. Yeah, we'd appreciate that. And then the title reports you're working on that.

Jared Westoff – Is your policy one or two hard copy.

Doris McNally - We're asking for one at this time.

Don Fawson – Then there was one other thing that was brought to my attention and that's; there are two different items that go along with this. There's a document here, it's on Project and Voluntary Cleanup, SID, Environmental Covenant. So, there is a certificate of completion that we don't have record of in the state right now. Let's see the certificate of completion is not listed as being done. So, that's just something you'll need to follow through with.

Josh Wagstaff - We talked to the mayor about that as well, and I'm working with the state to see if that document even exists, if that is something that they do. As far as what the state provided us, it was a no further action letter stating that the work had been done. You know the work that needed to be done has been done. So, we have that no further action letter already.

Don Fawson - OK, if you can just follow through with that. I do have the other one, I think it's the environmental covenant that is completed one or the other. Here's the other one. So, the Environmental Covenant has been completed and recorded. It's just the other one I don't see. They have a list here of different groups through the state that have completed these, this certificate of completion, but that was just not listed. It may just be something that they need a piece of paper on or whatever, I don't know. If you can just follow up on that.

Josh Wagstaff – Yeah, I can follow up and see if there is anything in addition to that?

Don Fawson – Alright so where are you at this point?

Josh Wagstaff - So I think the one thing that I still need to provide to you is the title report, even though there was a warranty deed and so I think we just need to get that title report and then we can make a request for a will serve letter again.

Don Fawson - Sounds good. Anything else?

Jared Westoff – Do you want an update on the sewer?

Don Fawson - Yeah, that'll be great. Thank you Josh, OK, at this time. Silver Point if you have. The report that you want to give us.

DISCUSSION

SEWER

Layna Larsen – Is the sewer for a different development?

Jared Westoff - The sewer is more accurately put as more of a public project. Where we're stepping in to build it and it's getting turned over to Ash Creek. And so, it's kind of this weird gray area because we're not really a development doing the sewer. We're doing a community system turning it over to Ash Creek, and just because Ash Creek is so overloaded and if we want to get sewer in the area somebody has to step up and do it.

Layna Larsen – So, is it for the whole valley?

Jared Westoff – So we are extending the sewer from the south outside the city boundary up and through and then at some point we've decided, here's the update I guess, we've decided not to use the

Conservancy District line and we're going to jump over to Valley, come up Valley and then right here in front of Town Hall, either come back over or the Lays are wanting to participate in the sewer. So, we might jump over, come up the edge of that property, Dave, that you're farming. We don't know yet. That's just an alternate route that we're looking at.

Don Fawson – How would that go in the back. Once you got up to Babylon, where would it go?

Jared Westoff – So, if we went off the Lays property, we'd hop over others.

Don Fawson – Where is the Lays property.

Jared Westoff - That's the field that Dave is farming, that's right behind my lot and my house, that long skinny field between the Bennion's home, the new home there. And then that pond lot that I own, we would jump over in that and go up the easement and hit Valley. We're just looking for ways to stay out of the state road right away and service more people. So, it would be great if we could jump down and catch Boulder Way but the elevation doesn't work. It would be really nice because Boulder Way is putting a dry sewer in. That will have to wait until Someday. Dave, if your family ever wants to do anything or they put it in their own lift station or whatever. Anyway, the goal is for the sewer, that we would work with the Town and Washington County to pass a couple public improvement districts and we would have sponsors in Leeds and sponsor in the County area and then we would go ahead and just draw an annexation for the whole town. So, just as a hypothetical, let's say, Dave, if you ever wanted to participate, you'd be in the annexation area and that conversation could happen. But it's not forcing anybody to sewer unless they want to be part of it. So those who want to be part of the sewer could say we want to be part of it. If the Downgradient works or the Elevations work, and it makes sense then they could flow to the sewer line that we're putting in, or they could plan for a lift station wherever they may need. Others could participate in the sewer project. And the reason we're looking to a Public Improvement District is not everybody wants to do something with their property right now, but if they want to have access to connections, they would basically have a property tax come against their property instead of writing a check today, it would just come out as property taxes and paid overtime.

David Stirling - So, is this a PID?

Jared Westoff – Yes

Don Fawson - From what you said, would that be mandatory if you go near their property?

Jared Westoff – No, we would be bonding and putting our own property up so we would not be using State monies. And then we're not forcing anybody to be on sewer in town. If they have a perfectly good working septic, there's no reason. If somebody wanted to be, you know, we'll draw that annexation area and once we've formed those public improvement districts with the town, if somebody through the public process or any way becomes aware of it, and they want to be part of the sewer, they'll be treated no different than any other property owner.

Don Fawson - So do you have any kind of realistic date for when this might actually be happening?

Jared Westoff - We had a good work meeting with the Mayor and Council member Ron Cundick on Monday. And we're working with Piper Jeffries on the PID and starting to pull all that paperwork and maps together. Our hope, not knowing, we've never done a PID before but we're looking to Piper Jeffries as an expert in that to pull those documents together, but our target is to have work meetings and the resolutions and whatever that process is going with the town sometime in March.

Don Fawson - So beyond that I mean Working toward March and having the discussions and whatnot. So, just give us kind of a hypothetical when you think you might like to go to bed or something like that, do you have any idea?

Jared Westoff - It'd be summer. Summer to early fall. If we're building by the end of the year, we'll be happy. We'd like to build sooner but it just takes time.

Don Fawson – Yeah it does, we found that out.

Jared Westoff – So I think that's realistic. I think by the end of the year we would like to be under construction. If we can move sooner, we will. But the main thing I wanted to make sure that you guys were updated, and we'll update the Conservancy as well as we do not intend to use their line through town. There may be a small section from here to where you turned into the overpass or up into Silver Reef, that we could work together. But the rest from this corner South we don't see us using or working together on that line.

Don Fawson - That actually uncomplicates things, so yeah.

Jared Westoff - And if we use the public Improvement District, it allows us to. (a) make sure that we're not using State funds so that people who have working septic systems aren't required to hook on. We're really wanting to make sure we don't create a situation where somebody is forced to do something they don't need to do and then. (b) If we use a Public Improvement District instead of trying to figure out how we can use this line and make it a little high-pressure line, we're putting in sewer to actual Ash Creek standards that is more useful to the community as opposed to just linking a couple developments that are ready to go now. So, I think it's better community planning and a better process.

Kurt Allen - So you're looking at a gravity system through town then.

Jared Westoff – 3.2 miles is what we figure is gravity. And then from our project on the South, which is about where the Cattle Guard is. We have 19 acres there, that's where the lift station will go, and we'll pressure from there up over the hill.

Kurt Allen – That makes sense and that's great. I think that's a good idea to look into the future and make it so that the town could take advantage of it in the future.

Jared Westoff - Yeah, I mean, we're not at all saying we want to see people develop or do anything that they don't want to do but, would like to do it in a way that it's available when they get there. Any questions?

Board – No

Jared Westoff - The mayor would like to have a work meeting with the Conservancy District, LDWA, the landowners planning to participate on the front end, the engineers, and Piper Sandler. And so, he's hoping to just schedule it before one of the Town's regular meetings and so, we were going to check with the Conservancy ourselves and Ash Creek, they want Ash Creek there as well. And just see which one of their regularly scheduled meetings that we could take an hour before as part of a work meeting? So, as I line that up, who's the best person to communicate with to see if we have an LDWA, representative that can come?

Don Fawson - Check with me, I will make sure it's around my schedule.

Jared Westoff - We'll try to have it at one of the two meetings in February, that is our goal, thank you.

Don Fawson - Yeah, thank you appreciate it. Danielle do you have anything you wanted to bring from the town at all?

Danielle Stirling – No

Don Fawson - OK. You contacted some people from Stockton or something, did you have a chance to meet with them?

Danielle Stirling - I didn't get a chance to meet with them yet.

Don Fawson - I have put in a call to Park City. I've just kind of put that off and did that today and just left a message. So, we'll see if we can find out from there too. We're just trying to find out from areas that have had mine tailings and other things how they handled their water just to make sure that, if they have any suggestions or whatever that; these are things we don't need to worry about or these are things we should be worrying about, just to kind of get a better handle on what's going on. So, we appreciate you with those reports.

V. SHAREHOLDERS HEARING DISCUSSION [All Members]

DISCUSSION	DISCUSSION
	<u>None</u>

VI. MEETING ADJOURNED [All Members]

DISCUSSION	Request a Motion to Adjourn Meeting [Don Fawson]
VOTE	MOTION TO CALL THIS MEETING TO AN END: Doris McNally SECOND: Kurt Allen MOTION APPROVED: Unanimously

Don Fawson – Everyone, Thank You so much for being here.
ADJOURNMENT: [7:48 PM Don Fawson]

Layna Larsen